# BLOCK 4 RELIGION AND POLITICS THE PEOPLE'S UNIVERSITY

# **BLOCK 4 INTRODUCTION**

This block is about relationship between religion and politics. It has two units. Unit 9 is about secularism. It discusses the nature of relationship between religion and the state, of religion with the community, individual and with democratic values such as liberty and equality, and with ethics. Unit 10 explains how communalism has been defined and how it is related to individual rights and the state.



# **UNIT 9 SECULARISM\***

#### **Structure**

- 9.0 Objectives
- 9.1 Introduction
- 9.2 What Is Secularism?
- 9.3 Secularism in Indian Constitution
- 9.4 "Anti-Secularism"
- 9.5 Secularism and religious groups
- 9.6 Let Us Sum Up
- 9.7 References
- 9.8 Answers to Check Your Progress Exercise

# 9.0 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this unit are to acquaint you with some crucial issues about secularism in India. After reading this unit, you will be able:

- To explain the meaning of secularism and secularization;
- To explain the issues related to secularism;
- To streamline main arguments in debate on secularism in India;
- And after comparing this unit with unit 10, to underline differences between secularism and communalism.

# 9.1 INTRODUCTION

Secularism is one of the most crucial issues in the current political scenario in world. Yuval Noah Harari, the author of 21 Lessons for the 21st Century includes secularism among 21 most urgent issues of the 21st century. In India too, secularism has been at the core of academic, popular and political discourse. Some questions are often raised about secularism: about its relationship with religion, state and other institutions, faith, religious communities, place of individual, democratic values such as freedom and equality about religion, and ethical values. This unit will discuss secularism in the light of these questions.

# 9.2 WHAT IS SECULARISM?

The central issue in secularism is religion. Secularism in a society implies that the religious supremacy of a community does not lead to discrimination and persecution of religious minorities. The meaning of secularism depending on the extent of relationship religion has with the attributes mentioned above, i.e, relationship with religion, state and other institutions, faith, religious communities, place of individual, democratic values such as freedom and equality about religion, and ethical values. There are three meanings of secularism according to different

<sup>\*</sup> Prof. Jagpal Singh, Professor of Political Science, School of Social Sciences, IGNOU, New Delhi. This unit has been borrowed from the course BPSC-132 (Unit 13).

perspectives: one talks about relationship between the religion and the state; two, is about the possibility or impossibility of applicability of secularism in India; and the third is about equal respect to all religions or *sarva dharma sambhav*. These perspectives have been reflected in the debate within the Constituent Assembly, popular and academic discourse in India. As you will read in this unit, the debate on secularism in India, in the Constituent Assembly, and academic discourse has involved these three meanings to varying levels.

According to Rajiv Bhargav success of secularism depends on certain factors. These are democracy and independence of the state from pressure of classes and ethnic groups in society which again depends on presence of state. Democracy depends on pacification of politics, i.e. peaceful competition or competition without violence. In fact, secularism is associated with values which are linked with democracy and equal citizenship. Yuval Noah Harari underlines that in a secular society, people belonging to different faiths – Hindus, Christians, Muslims, and atheists follow certain ethical codes. These ethical codes are enshrined in the values or secular ideals such as truth, compassion, equality, freedom, courage, and responsibility. For secularists, truth is different from belief, and there is no single source as custodian of truth. Compassion implies a "deep appreciation of suffering", to reduce sufferings in the world in best possible way. Since sufferings are universal, the commitment to truth and compassion result in commitment to equality. The search for truth can be achieved with freedom to think, investigate, and experiment. Courage includes value to fight biases and oppressive regimes, to admit ignorance and "venture into unknown". Responsibility means to not rely on higher power to address problems facing societies, no divine power needs to be credited for it. The developments result from knowledge of human beings themselves and their compassion.

According to DE Smith, Secularism can prevail in a secular state. What is secular state? In DE Smith's model in *India as a Secular State*, a secular state can be identified by its dealing with three subjects: exclusion of state in relationship between individual and the religion (religious liberty); relations between individual and state in which religion is excluded (individual as citizen); and state neutrality. In Smith's perspective, India had prospects of success of democracy: characteristics of secularism are present in Hinduism. However, there have been challenges in consolidation of secular state in India: caste and community loyalties which could easily turn into communal rivalry and conflict. Gallenter finds Smith's critique of Indian secularism unconvincing: countering Smith, he contends that Indian state departs from principles of secularism by giving subsidies to religious schools and bodies, promoting Hinduism, and compromising its secular credentials. For him, precondition for a secular state to succeed lies in presupposing a normative conception of religion with capacity to judge and evaluate religion. In his opinion, the compromise in India on secularism, could be visible in the Constituent Assembly the debate on religious liberty (right to religious worship, religious practice, whether the state should recognise only linguistic minorities or linguistic minorities as well); on citizenship (universal civil code, religion-based political reservation); and on state neutrality (whether the state should give instructions to the state aided schools).

AkilBilgrami contests the notion of secularism as merely state's neutrality and equidistance from different religions. Bilgrami rejects this notion and provides

an alternative notion. He argues secularism does not emerge in all historical contexts. It emerges in some historical contexts. It emerges where there is threat of "majoritarianism". It can also emerge in the contexts which are not fully modernist. Secularism is different from secular and secularisation. Secularism is a political doctrine. A person may remain secular simultaneously retaining his/her religious identity.

# 9.3 SECULARSIM IN INDIAN CONSTITUTION

Indian constitution did not include the word secularism when it commenced on January 26, 1951. Although secularism was not mentioned in the Constitution, the fact that Independent India became a democracy, secularism was implied in it as a cardinal principle, a fait accompli, not needing its mention. Butit was incorporated in the Preamble of the Constitution by 42<sup>nd</sup> Constitutional Amendment in 1976. Later, the Supreme Court ruled in the Bhommai judgement that secularism is a basic feature of the Constitution. Besides, provisions in Articles 25-30 protecting the rights of religious minorities in the Constitution emerged from debate in the Constituent Assembly of India: these signify values of secularism. The questions whether word secularism should be included in Indian Constitution, what kind of secular state India needed to become ("a secular state in a religious country"), whether separation of state from religion was a testimony for secularism, whether a secular state was contingent on a secular society or whether state that respects all religions equally meant presence of secularism in India, were discussed on October 17, 1949. The opinions on these questions were divided in the Constituent Assembly. Finally, the assembly decided to not include the word secular in the Preamble. However, there was an agreement among all members of the Constituent Assembly to establish India as a secular state. And most of them agreed that separation of religion and state was related to democratisation of society.

Shefali Jha identifies three alternative arguments on secularism which were debated in the Constituent Assembly. She terms the first argument as "no concern theory of secularism". The proponents of this argument argued that religion should not be concern of the state. Religion is a private affair and there should be separation between religion as a private affair and the state (public affair). People have liberty to practice religion as a private affair. The state should recognise an individual as a citizen not as a person from a religion. The principal representative of this argument were K.T. Shah, Tajamul Husain and M. Masani. The second line of argument also suggested that religion and state should be separated. But their argument was just opposite to the first argument. While the first kind of argument contended religion was a personal matter for the state to intervene, this argument suggested that religion was a system of absolute truth. Association of religion would not weaken the state but would demean religion. Whims of the majorities which keep changing should not be allowed to have a say in a democratic state. The third theory which Shefali Jha describes as "Equal Respect Theory of Secularism" argues that since in India religion was the most important part of people's life, the state should respect all religions equally along with maintaining a distance from religions. The most vocal advocate of this argument was K.M. Munshi. He argued "we had to evolve a characteristically Indian Secularism". In his opinion, India can not have a state religion; nor can a rigid

line be drawn between the religion and the state. In this view a people's state can not be founded on a kind of secularism that is contemptuous of religion. Since most religions preach tolerance, if the state allows public sphere to religion it would not lead to inter-sectarian strife. Jaya Prakash Narayan argued that it was not religion but use of religion for social, economic, and political purposes that leads to communal violence.

#### **Check Your Progress Exercise 1**

**Note:** i) Use the space below for your answer.

if check your answers with the answers given at the one of the	eck your answers with the answers given at the end of the	s given at the end of the	the answers giver	<ul> <li>i) Check your answers with</li> </ul>	ii)
--	---	---------------------------	-------------------	--	-----

,
What is secularism?
What were main points in debate on secularism in the Constituent Assembly?
THE BEABLES

# 9.4 "ANTI-SECULARISM"

The points in debate on secularism which were discussed in the Constituent Assembly and in the 1950s were discussed in the debate on the theme which occurred later. One such debate represented a term which came to be alluded to as "anti-secularism". Like an argument given in the Constituent Assembly, advocates of anti-secularism are not opposed to secularism per se. What they are opposed to is the notion of secularism which suggests separation between religion and the state. They are critical of both communal-fundamentalist (Hindutva) and secularists. According to the advocates of anti-secularism, since India is a religious society, religion and the state can not be separated. They consider the notion of separation of religion and the state a western notion, which is not applicable to a religious society like India. Roots of real secularism can be traced in Indian traditions, which have been tolerant. Secularism can be achieved by equal respect for all religions (Sarva Dharma Sambhava). The main advocates of anti-secularist perspective are Bhikhu Parekh, T.N. Madan and Ashis Nandi. Especially, Madan considers secularism as a "gift of Christianity", and Nandi has "an anti-secularist agenda" to critique secularism. According to Achin Vanaik, they focus on six general themes regarding Indian society: modernity, understanding of culture, civilisation, religion and Hinduism, past and present; secularism and secularisation;

particularism and universalism, individualism and communitarianism; and neo-Gandhianism. While they share common point that state should respect all religions equally and Indian tradition has been a tolerant tradition, they have differences.

Rajiv Bhargava argues that the notion of secularism needs to be reconceptualised or re-imagined. Instead of focusing on state-church relationship the following is needed: (i) secularism should be focused as a response to deep religious diversity; (ii) diversity must be understood as enmeshed in power relations; hidden potential of religion-related domination must be understood; (iii) the two moves can help us to view secularism as a response to institutionalised religion (inter-and intra-religious) domination: secularism is not against religion; and it is opposed to institutionalised religion-based domination; (iv) and, only by maintaining principles distance a secular state can show critical respect to all religions and philosophical world views. He explains Indian secularism in terms of the notion of principled distance. He states "the idea of principled distance entails a flexible approach to the issue of state's inclusion or exclusion of religions, and to the issue of engagement with or disengagement from religion, which at the level of law and order depends on the context." He classifies secularism into two kinds: political and secular.

According to some scholars (Smith, Tambia) secularism, secularism in India is facing crisis. There are external and internal factors responsible for this. External factors include - breakdown of Congress, increasing centralisation of power of the state, and secessionist movements in the 1980s- in Punjab and Kashmir and implementation of Mandal Commission Report. Internal factors include – totalising world-view of which secularism is a part (Madan and Nandi), and demand for equidistance which can not be met by any state (Chatterjee).

# 9.5 SECULARISM AND RELIGIOUS GROUPS

Secularism and secularisation are two inter-related concepts. But in the academic and political discourse, it is the former which has received more attention. Several articles published in *Economic and Political Weekly*(Vol. 58, No. 50 Dec. 14, 2013) discuss the notion of secularisation and its relationship with secularism, and several related aspects with reference to India, Pakistan and Bangladesh. It is about the nature of relationship about rights of religious groups and individuals within them. It is also about ethics or morality.

Secularisation means absence of influence of religion on public policies and social relations. But it does not negate religion itself. It is about how religion is the basis of favour or discrimination. It is about modernisation and modernity. Secularisation must be "collective normative project"; whereas secularism in Europe was not "launched as a programme of collective action" (Rajiv Bhargava). Using notion of secularisation, Joya Chatterjee explains how that following the partition, both India and Pakistan followed policy of secularisation, which was partial. Both got busy in addressing non-religious issues of partition-affected families: rehabilitation of the refugees. However, the secularisation was partial. It was a limited secularisation which did not permeate lower parts of state machinery.

# 9.6 LET US SUM UP

Secularism has broadly two meanings: one, separation of religion from the state; and two, equal respect to all religions by the state or *sarvadharmsambhav*. Originally, the Preamble of the Constitution did not mention the word secularism. It was inserted into the Preamble by the 42<sup>nd</sup> Constitutional Amendment. The Constituent Assembly discussed whether secularism should be mentioned in the Constitution. There were three broad arguments in the Constituent Assembly: One argument suggested that since religion was a personal affair, there was no need to discuss it; Second argument contended that there should be separation between religion and that state; and, the third argument stated that the state should respect all religions equally or there should be *sarva dharma sambhav*.

#### **Check Your Progress Exercise 2**

**Note:** i) Use the space below for your answer.

What are the main arguments of anti-secularists?

111	L'hoolz vour on	arrara rruth tha	answers given at	tha and	1 at tha	111011
	THECK VOID AD	SWEIS WITH THE	andwers orven ar	THE EHG		
11/	Chicon your un	SWOID WILL LIIC	and word at von at	uic ciid	or urc	ullit
,	J		0			

	THE BEABLES
2)	What is the difference between secularism and secularization?
	// HINWERSIT
2)	W7L-4:
3)	What is meant by the notion of principled distance?

# 9.7 REFERENCES

Bhargava, Rajeev (eds), *Secularism and Its Critics*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 1999.

Bilgrami, Akil, "Secularism: Its Content and Context", *Economic and Political Weekly*, No.4, Vol. 57, 2012.

Chandhoke, Neera, *Beyond Secularism: The Rights and Religious Minorities*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 1999.

Economic and Political Weekly, Issue on Secularisation, Vol. 58, No. 50, December 14.

Harari, Yuval Noah, 21 Lessons for the 21st Century, Jonathan Cape, London, 2018.

Vanaik, Achin, *Hindutva Rising: Secular Claims, Communal Realities*, Tulika, New Delhi, 2017.

# 9.8 ANSWERS TO CHECK YOUR PROGRESS EXERCISES

## **Check Your Progress 1**

- 1) Secularism denotes relationship between religion, state, institutions, social groups and individual. There two notions of it: One, suggests a distance between religion and the state or equal distance or request to all religions by the state; another, talks about the relationship of religion with communities and individuals about democratic values such as freedom and equality, and morality.
- 2) In the Constituent Assembly, there were three types of arguments on secularism: One, the state should not interfere in religious matter; two, state and religion should be separate because religion is higher than the state/beyond the scope of the state; and three, the state should pay equal respect to all religions.

#### **Check Your Progress Exercise 2**

- 1) The anti-secularists argue that secularism which preaches distance between religion and state is western context. In a country like India where religion is an essential aspect of like, religion and state can not be delinked. The real secularism can be traced in Indian traditions, which is marked by tolerance. True secularism can be achieved by following the principle of *sarva dharma sambhav* (equal respect to all religions).
- 2) Secularism denotes distance between religion and the state or equal respect to all religions. Secularisation denotes absence of the impact of religion on the state policies about social groups. It is also about how moral or ethical values shape attitudes about followers of different religions.

3) The idea of principled distance is propounded by Rajeev Bhargava. It denotes a flexible approach to state's engagement or disengagements with religions, and to their inclusion or exclusion by the state. The level of engagement, exclusion or inclusion depends on context, nature, and current state of religions.



# **UNIT 10 COMMUNALISM\***

#### Structure

- 10.0 Objectives
- 10.1 Introduction
- 10.2 What is Communalism?
- 10.3 Origin of Communalism
- 10.4 Communalism and the State
- 10.5 Communalism and Media
- 10.6 Let Us Sum Up
- 10.7 References
- 10.8 Answers to Check Your Progress Exercise

# 10.0 OBJECTIVES

After reading this unit, you should be able to:

- Explain the meaning of communalism;
- Trace the growth of communalism in India;
- Discuss relationship between media and communalism; and
- Analyse the relationship between the state and communalism.

# 10.1 INTRODUCTION

Identity forms an important aspect of the life of an individual or group in a society. In a diverse society, there are multiple factors that shape identities. Such factors are culture, language, religion, customs, history, region, economy, etc. In shaping an identity, the numbers of these factors vary. The numbers and effectiveness of the factors depend on the context in which identities are formed. Even though multiple factors shape an identity, sometime a single or some of them plays more dominant role than the other factors. This is true of the role of religion also in shaping an identity. As India is a multi-religious society, it is relevant to understand how religion helps in shaping identities of people, in building harmonious or conflictual relations between people following different religions.

# **10.2 WHAT IS COMMUNALISM?**

Communalism is an ideology which shapes the vision of members of a community, formed on the basis of common religion, about themselves, other religious communities, nationalism and the state. In their book *India's Struggle for Freedom*, Bipan Chandra and others, underline that communal ideology consists of three elements. The first element underlines the belief that people from similar religious community have similar secular interests such as political, economic, social and cultural interests. The authors of the book term it as "the first bedrock of communal

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Rakesh Batabyal, Associate Professor, Centre for Media Studies, School of Social Sciences, JNU, New Delhi-110068. This unit has been borrowed from the course BPSC-132 (Unit 14).

ideology". The second element denotes that the people belonging to different religious communities do not have common secular interests – social, economic, cultural or political interest. The third element or phase of communalism shows a stage in which the relations between different religious communities are seen as mutually incompatible, hostile and antagonistic.

Communalism is linked to another concept, e.g., communal violence. Both are different but inter-related. Communalism is a consciousness and when this consciousness gets expressed in terms of violence between two different religious communities it is called communal violence. Different religious communities do not become communal own their own. Nor do their relations turn into communal violence automatically. Religious communities are turned into communal communities by certain sections of society, and they can convert relations between such communities into communal riots. Such sections can be political leaders, activists, middle classes or community leaders. They explain to the members of their respective communities that the other community is responsible for their problems. In certain politically suitable situation or context they are able to mobilize their respective communities into communal violence.

There have been different approaches to the way communalism can be studied. First has been the approach which may be called empirical approach used by various scholars starting Ashgar Ali Engineer, in which different communal riots were studied and general conclusion have been drawn. A large number of scholars such as Amrita Basu, Paul Brass, Asutosh Varshney etc., have followed this approach to test their theories.

The second approach has been Materialist approach. This approach underlines the need to study social and economic conditions, role and nature of the state, and ideology to understand communalism. In this approach, the incidents of communal violence are studied as a reflection of these factors. K.T. Shah, Bipan Chandra, AchinVnaik, C.P. Bhambhri, Aditya Mukherjee have followed this approach. The third approach has been the essentialist approach where the communities are already seen to be different and living across fault lines with separate identities. Huntington, the American political scientist in his very celebrated book *Clash of Civilizations*has presented an outline of such approach.

#### 10.3 ORIGINS OF COMMUNALISM

Communalism as a belief or an ideology has been the product of the colonial rule in India. In this sense it is product of modern times in India. Earlier also, there were large number of instances of inter-sect or inter-cult or religious conflicts and violence. But they were not communal in the sense it came to be understood from the mid-nineteenth century. The communalism in India largely was product of the colonial policies towards different communities, especially after the 1857 mutiny. The challenges faced by the colonial rulers in the latter half of the nineteenth century became the reasons for them to devise policies that promoted communalism. Among these challenges included criticism of the colonial administration by a new intelligentsia, which had emerged in India by mid-nineteenth century. This intelligentsia, most often, was the product of the new English education. This intelligentsia began to realize that people of India were suffering due to the colonial rule. Transcending linguistic, caste sectarianism or

cultic identities the new intelligentsia sought to generate national consciousness against the colonial administration. Thus, the new intelligentsia, trained in both traditional knowledge and the new western knowledge through English Medium wanted a Indian people emerge as nation. This consciousness led them to attempt to constitute a 'national community' consisting of Indians of different sections of society. This was to contest the colonial constructs of a society and polity.

The colonial authorities responded to the challenge of growing national consciousness being generated by the efforts of the new intelligentsia. They did so by the following strategies: debunking the notion that Indians who had multiple diversities could be united as a nation, by creating a colonial knowledge, and by highlighting differences among people which existed on the basis of religion, caste, language, etc. and by introducing religion-based representation in the legislative/political bodies. As a part of such knowledge, James Mill wrote a book *The History of British India*, in which he argued that history of India can be divided on the basis of religion into three periods: ancient, medieval and modern. Mill argued that ancient period in India's history symbolized India's golden period when she was the ruled by the Hindus; in medieval period, the Muslim invaders destroyed the glorious ancient Indian history and established Muslim rule; and the modern period denoted the end of the Mughal rule and establishment of the British rule in India. Such periodization of Indian history has been used by the communal historians and the colonial authorities to divide the society on communal lines. As most of the intelligentsia came from the upper crust of the Hindu society, its growth and ideas could be shown to be detrimental to the interest of Muslims by the Muslim intelligentsia and traditional elite. In 1987, Dufferin (Viceroy) and A. Colvin, Lt-Governor of UP attacked Congress for being anti-imperialism. Syed Ahmad joined the attack thinking that it would help in getting Muslim share in administrative and professional positions increased. He believed that with the growth of Hindu intelligentsia and middle classes, the dominance of Muslims declined. Solution to Muslims dominance lay in enabling Muslims to get English education. For this purpose, he founded Anglo Mohammedan Anglo-Oriental College in 1875 which later became AMU (Aligarh Muslim University). Meanwhile, a new Muslim middle class too was emerging at that time. However, its late arrival witnessed an already entrenched Hindu middle class which by 1870s onwards was quite vocal and anticolonial in its approach. One of the strategies of the traditional Muslim elite and new middle class was to demand that they should be given preferences of representation in public institutions. The demand for reservation in jobs and educational institutions by the Middle class soon got converged with the demand of the Muslim elite for more political power via statutory reservations in the legislative and other bodies. This led to the formation of the Muslim League in 1906 which also saw the active prompting by the British colonial government. In other areas, for example, the British encouraged sections from among the Hindus too with such favours as they seemed to divide the emerging national unity under the Indian National Congress. The demand for reservation in government jobs and representation of legislative bodies to the Muslims resulted in similar demands from some sections of the Hindus. And a competition between some sections of Hindu and Muslim intelligentsia took place for reservation in government jobs and legislative bodies. Later, these demands views were voiced by Muslim League. In fact, the earlier Muslim intelligentsia and later Muslim League represented sections of Muslim landlords and middle classes and their vision and demands were common.



For some historians, Hindus had all the glory which was destroyed by the Muslim invaders while the Muslim communal historians saw the British as destroyer of the glory of Islam. According to them the Islamic glory had to be restored once again. This could be done by establishing an Islamic rule. These two versions represented two-nation theory. The policy level intervention to divide the Indians on communal lines included introduction of separate electorate in 1909 (Morley-Minto Reform). According to the policy of separate electorate, in 1909 municipal elections, Hindu and Muslims contested from separate constituencies, where candidates and the electorate belong to the same religion. Separate electorate was political manifestation of communal divide. From the 1920s, the demands for more representation in the councils etc., was needed to be widened and so was popular participation. The 1920s saw the emergence of Khilafat movement which for the first time brought many Muslim into the larger political fold. This meant that the seeds of separate identities based on non-religious interests but along with the religious lines planted. The Colonial government however tried to fragment the movement by organizing the Round Table Conference in 1930-32 in which representative of all conceivable groups and sectional interests were invited.

In comparison to Hindus, the rise of Muslim educated in English was limited. Educated Hindus and Muslims competed for government jobs. It gave a feeling among some sections of the new elite/middle class from both communities that because of the other community, they were not getting jobs and representation in political institutions. The Hindus viewed that their interests were antagonistic to Muslims' interests and vice-versa. As you have read above, in communalism the secular interests of different religions communities are antagonistic. Thus, communalism in India grew between the late nineteenth century and the partition of the country.

#### **Check Your Progress Exercise 1**

What is communalism?

<b>Note:</b> i) Use the space below for your answer	i) Use the space below for your ansy	we
---	--------------------------------------	----

• • •	O1 1		• .1	. 1		4 41	1 (	1.1	• ,
11	) Check v	mur ancy	Merc With	the and	SWETS OIVE	at the	end of	thei	init
11	CHUCK	your ans	wcis willi	uic ans	SWCIS EIVC	at the	Cliu Oi	uic t	amı,

2)	What is the relationship between communalism and communal violence?
2)	What is the relationship between communalism and communal violence?
2)	What is the relationship between communalism and communal violence?
2)	
2)	

3)	Briefly narrate the origin of communalism in India.	Communalisn

# 10.4 COMMUNALISM AND THE STATE

Communalism often leads to communal violence between different religious communities. There are several examples of communal violence in India. Communal violence is also result of intermingling of religion and politics. In the post Independent India, communalism has become part of the competitive electoral politics. According to K.N. Pannikar (1990), politics and communalism have become complementary, reinforcing each other in the post-Independence period. State can devise policies which can either stop or encourage communalism. It can also play partisan role in communal politics. The state can play an important role in the spread or prevention of communalism. The nature of state's role on communalism depends on the nature of pressure of social groups on it, and composition of the personnel in the state institutions, and political context. Thus, the state functions under the pressure of different social groups and classes. These also include religious communities. As you have read above, the colonial rule promoted religious divisions: the policy was based on preferential treatment and discrimination. According to C.P. Bhambri, the post-Independence India inherited the legacy of religious backwardness and religious conflict. The state in India is placed in paradoxical situation: on the one hand it has to act through rules and regulations, new technology; on the other hand, it has to deal with the society where symbols, rituals and inherited social regulatory mechanisms exist. Indian state faced the challenge of losing loyalty if it is perceived to be acting against traditional practices - Muslim personal law (1985), Operation Blue Star (1984), Sabrimala (2019). In democratic society such as India, the state functions under the pressure of different social forces. It becomes a site of multiple ideologies and tendencies - including secularists and communalists. In the post-Independence period, the Indian state has followed the strategy for managing conflicts - of oppression and cooptation: it makes compromises with communalism and casteism. And the exploiting classes have exploited religious sentiments to legitimize exploitation in the society. Zoya Hasan (1990) argues that the state surrendered to the pressure of religious fundamentalist in Muslim Women's Bill and Ram Janma Bhumi case.

# 10.5 COMMUNALISM AND MEDIA

Media, in both its traditional and newer forms, helpe ideologies and ideas to spread. As has been discussed in the rise of public sphere by Jurgen Habermas in the context of Europe, the public associations, clubs, public gatherings and later on print media which included newspapers, novels, textbooks, etc., have all helped many ideas to spread. Benedict Anderson, for example, called nation as an



*Imagined Community* as it was created because of spread of imagined ideas of nation by the print media since the 18th century onwards. In relation to communalism in India, the media plays an influential role in creation and spread of communalism. Its role becomes crucial in reporting, explaining and commenting on communal riots or violence; about the reasons for occurrence of communal violence, role of leaders of different communities and politicians. The media includes print media – newspapers, magazines, and electronic media (television channels), and social media (WhatsApp, face book, tweeter, email). While media plays a decisive role in generating awareness among the people, on several occasions it has contributed to the spread of communal divide in the country. In the recent past, fake news, have become quite frequent to arouse communal passion in the society. Many of the news in the media are based on rumours and unverified facts. The social media for example has a reach which very few previous media had. It is also not controlled through censorship and other ways; any news or information, which may cause violence or animosity among different groups of people travels rapidly.

#### **Check Your Progress Exercise 2**

	ii) Check your answers with the answers give at the end of the unit.
1)	How does the state play a role in communalism?
2)	Briefly describe the nature of relationship between communalism and media.

# 10.6 LET US SUM UP

Communalism is an ideology which preaches that communities are formed on religious lines. And members of a community share common interests which are incompatible with the interests of other religious communities. In certain political contexts, communalism can lead to communal violence. This happens because of the role of political or community leaders. Communal violence which the post-independent India has seen can be traced to the colonial period. Sometimes communalism gets expressed in the form of communal violence. This can happen when some sections of leaders or political activists convert relations between

religious communities into conflict. In past few years social media have also become source of communal divide.

# 10.7 REFERENCES

Batbyal, Rakesh (2005), Communalism in Bengal: From Famine to Noakhali, 1943-47, Sage Publications, New Delhi.

Bhambri, C.P. (1990), "State and Communalism in India", *Social Scientist*, Vol.18, Nos. 8-9, Aug.-Sept., pp. 22-26.

Chandra, Bipan; Mukherjee, Mridula; Mukherjee, Adiya; Mahajan, Suchitra; Panikkar, K.N. (1988), *India's Struggle for Independence*, New Delhi, Penguin.

Hasan, Zoya (1990), "Changing Orientation of the State and the Emergence of Majoritarianism in the 1980s", Social Scientist, Vol. 18, Nos. 8-9, August-September.

Pannikar, K.N. (1990), "Introduction", *The Social Scientist*, Vol.18, Nos 8-9, Aug.-Sept.

# 10.8 ANSWERS TO CHECK YOUR PROGRESS EXERCISES

# **Check Your Progress 1**

- 1) Communalism is an ideology which shares the visions of members a community formed on the basis of religion about themselves, other communities and nationalism. It has three elements: first, members of a religious community share common interests; two, people from two different religious communities do not share common interests; and three, relations between different religious communities are incompatible and hostile.
- 2) Communalism and communal violence are different but inter-related. The former is an ideology which preaches that people from a religious community share common social, economic, political and other kinds of interests which are incompatible with the interests of other communities. Communal violence is violent expression of the differences between different religious communities.
- 3) It emerged during the second half of the nineteenth century, the colonial period. It was product of the divide and rule policy of the British. The policy was reaction to critique of colonial policies by the newly emergent intelligentsia. The responded to the critique of colonial rule by encouraging the intelligentsia and middle classes to make demands for reservation in jobs and representation in political institution on religious lines. They introduced separate electorates through Morley-Minto reforms on 1909. Consequently, it resulted in genesis of communalism in India.

#### **Check Your Progress 2**

1) The state can play an effective role in communalism in two ways: One, by devising policies which can either encourage or impede communalism; two,

- by taking action against people or agencies involved in spread of communalism or communal violence. The role of state depends on political ideologies of those who control the state and on its capacity to manage pressures of different communities.
- 2) Media and communalism are related. Media not only plays role in either creating and spread or combatting it. During the second decade of the twenty first century, social media has become more decisive in shaping communalism in India. Quite often, media spread fake news, unverified information which contribute to communalism.

